

Viscous Fluids and Quantum Mechanics

João Belther Junior
 Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil*
 (Dated: February 2, 2008)

From a simple analysis of particle orbits and fluid flows in presence or not of dissipation, some connections between apparently uncorrelated research areas are made. The main results point out for a deep relation between quantization of classical conservative systems and the dissipative version of these systems.

The aim of this work is to point out that different areas of research can mutually benefit from each other if an appropriate translation is made. To make an intelligible translation the fundamental deductions will be introduced by the using of widely known concepts and the consequences will be more specific. The so called fundamental concepts are input and output information, and some evolution (dynamical) law. For example, with the input information of the position and velocity at some instant of a particle obeying Newton's second law, it is possible to determine the future positions and velocities for some quantity of time, in general not infinite, if the force (potential) field satisfies some (smoothness) conditions. If the input information is, for example, the positions in two different instants, it is not possible in general to assign a definite orbit as output information. For periodic systems, even with a huge amount of positions in different instants as input, it is not possible to decide the output orbit, if the positions and instants been measured are rational numbers, as is ever the case for (finite precision=) physical measurements. The very dynamical law can possess some undecidability, as is the case for singularities in the force field or if one tries to describe physical motion of a particle by first-order autonomous ordinary differential equations in position only: the simple one-dimensional harmonic oscillator would present some problems, for would exist two velocities associated to the the same point. This latter description is very important for conservative forces, for in this case the velocity in cartesian coordinates is equivalent to the gradient of the action function, solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. This point will be made clear in the sequence, but before some important equations will be deduced.

If the orbit is sufficient smooth in some region, it is possible to consider it as the integral curve for some vector field

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}(t), t). \quad (1)$$

For a conservative force field, where the force can be written as (minus) the gradient of some potential $V(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$, a time differentiation of the last equation leads to

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla V, \quad (2)$$

which is the Euler equation of fluid dynamics, if a identification between the potential and the pressure $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is made.

The Euler equation has the additional requirement of incompressibility, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, and appropriate boundary conditions. It is simple to show that for a gradient velocity field, $\mathbf{v} = \nabla S$, the Euler equation reduces to the Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) one

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla S \cdot \nabla S + V = 0. \quad (3)$$

Although the equations (dynamical law) are formally the same, there is a fundamental difference in their common use: for the HJ equation, the potential (pressure) is given, it is part of the input information, and the incompressibility condition is not imposed. In fact, apparently there is no study even if the HJ equation admit harmonic solutions for general boundary conditions. As is widely known, the name action function is ascribed for the relation between the solution of HJ equation and the action, generally given by the functional of the Lagrangian. Here is the moment for another observation. It is generally said that the minimum action principle is equivalent to the Newton's second law. This is true if the velocity and position are given at the same instant in the two descriptions. Strictly, the minimum action is stated for two different points in space-time, the velocity should be considered as additional input information. For very near instants and positions it can be said the two descriptions are equivalent, but it is important to emphasize this local character for the equivalence. The problem is analogous to that of geodesics for a given metric: for very near points, the geodesics are in fact the minimum distance curves connecting this points, but this cannot be extended to arbitrary points. For fixed energy E , in a gradient force field, the orbits are the geodesics of the Maupertois metric: $g_{ij} = (E - V)\delta_{ij}$. It is a simple task, not generally made, to calculate some geometrical quantities from this metric, like an invariant density, a connection compatible with the metric and the curvature. This geometrical analysis will be treated after the introduction of dissipation.

The Euler equation is a good tool for describing long wavelength (low frequency) phenomena, where the effects of turbulence, a short-range viscosity dependent phenomenon, can be neglected. It is the case for the waves in the ocean or in a pond, where the wavelengths varies from fractions of a meter to several hundred meters. If one considers the photon fluid (gas) of blackbody radiation, for low frequency the classical prediction for the energy density is in good agreement with experimental data, but not for very higher ones, when quantum mechanics turns out to be a necessity. In the sequence will be explored the possibility to explain these "quantum effects" in the photon fluid, or possibly any other system, by a similar approach as for the ocean waves: considering dissipation in

*Electronic address: belther@ifg.usp.br

the system. For the photon fluid is something like to suppose a dissipation coefficient so small that for low frequencies it can be, for almost every purpose, be ignored, but not for very high frequencies. As is generally known, the HJ equation is in some sense the “classical” version of the Schrödinger equation, it was from the HJ equation that Schrödinger obtained his wave equation, in a heuristic derivation. What is not apparently explored is the fact that Schrödinger equation is the Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, if a irrotational (gradient) velocity field is considered and a appropriate simple transformation is made. One can readily see this by considering the wave equation for unity mass and imaginary time, $t \rightarrow -it$

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + V\Psi - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla^2 \Psi = 0, \quad (4)$$

and making the transformation $\Psi = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}$ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla S \cdot \nabla S - \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla^2 S = 0, \quad (5)$$

wich is the NS equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla p - \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (6)$$

for an irrotational velocity field. It is important to notice that if the condition of incompressibility is imposed on $\mathbf{v}$ for NS equation with a gradient field, the NS equation reduces to the Euler equation, except for the boundary conditions. In an analogous situation encountered before, it is important to remark that apart the formal equality between the equations, there is the fundamental difference that in Schrödinger equation the potential is generally given. By this way, one can consider the (irrotational) NS equation as a Schrödinger equation where the potential is not given from the start, it is not part of the input information. Apart from the connection with the NS equation, this kind of analysis for the Schrödinger equation without a definite potential is encountered in two different areas: random matrix theory and soliton equations.

Random matrix theory is many times called a new kind of statistical mechanics, where one works with a ensemble of Hamiltonians satisfying certain properties. It was born in physics in the attempts to analyze the complicated spectra of heavy nuclei, where the phenomenom of repulsion of energy levels is apparent. Its range of application has been expanded intensively in many directions, like number theory, quantum chaos and integrable systems. The applications to these two later areas will be important for this work.

Quantum chaos can be roughly defined as the analysis of the behavior of classical chaotic systems when quantized. In other words, the analysis of the Schrödinger equation for a potential wich produces chaotic behavior classically. Some researchers dislike the name quantum chaos with the allegation that the Schrödinger equation is linear, then it cannot produce chaotic behavior. I think this is not a consistent criticizing, for there is a fundamental difference between finite and infinite dimensional dynamical systems: it is clear that a finite dimensional linear system is integrable, i.e, it possesses a quantity

of integrals of motion equal to its dimension (number of degrees of freedom), but the Schrödinger equation does not possesses infinite conservation laws, i.e, infinite densities commuting with the Hamiltonian, except for some few potentials. This is a problem of language, as already emphasized in [1] To make this point clearer, some facts will be recalled. Suppose the dynamical law

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = [H, X], \quad (7)$$

where X is some dynamical variable, like a function on classical phase space, and the symbol $[,]$ denotes, as usual, the commutator (Poisson bracket, Lie bracket...). Suppose some structure that enable one to talk about adjoint (transpose, hermitean conjugate...) and that H is self-adjoint (symmetric, hermitian...), then it is readily seen that

$$[H, X] = \lambda X, \quad (8)$$

implies

$$[H, XX^*] = 0, \quad (9)$$

where the symbol $*$ denotes adjoint. One can think in the harmonic oscillator as an example, but this simple statement is general. Instead of eigenfunctions, one can think of conserved quantities, and this latter concept is in my sense more natural and physically intellegible. It is important to insist in this point. The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian operator are given by

$$\lambda_n = \langle \Psi_n | H | \Psi_n \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Tough this definition is equivalent to usual one $H | \Psi_n \rangle = \lambda_n | \Psi_n \rangle$ for time-independent potentials, it is well suitable for time-dependent potentials and makes clear the density character of the eigenvalues. With all this in mind, another important connection can be made: for one dimension only, what is the potentials wich give discrete time-independent eigenvalues? With appropriate boundary conditions, this potentials are the solutions of the KdV equations for shallow water waves. In [2] the appearance of the Schrödinger operator is considered as merely a coincidence. In fact, other nonlinear equations possessing soliton solutions like mKdV and nonlinear Schrödinger possesses as Hamiltonian densities, this kind of Schrödinger densities, although the Hamiltonian (symplectic) structure is not ever the same. To make all these notions clearer, two simple systems will be used: the free particle and the harmonic oscillator.

The pressureless version of the NS equations is known by Burgers equation. In fact, for more than one dimension it is called generalized Burgers equation. In the Schrödinger equation this is simply the free-particle, with the well known propagator. It is important to notice that the propagator is something that maps densities. If one knows the particle is in some position at an initial time, the initial density is an delta function. The propagator gives the probability this particle stay at some later time t in an arbitrary position x . This propagator is the same as if one considered the Brownian motion

of this particle, with the Planck's constant making the role of the viscosity coefficient. This can lead one to wonder if the Planck's constant is some kind of fundamental viscosity coefficient. This is also a fact pointing to the connection between dissipation and quantization.

Now some analysis of the harmonic oscillator. It is readily seen that the abstract construction of the eigenvectors for an Hamiltonian, in the case of the harmonic oscillator, these eigenvectors are given by the so-called step operators a , $\dagger a$. Backing to the geometrical side, it is instructive to consider

these operators as covariant derivatives. It is immediate that the invariant density is the Gaussian function. More interesting is that if one tries to calculate the "curvature", we get the curvature of the circle, which is in fact the harmonic oscillator topologically.

This work is an attempt to show that research in fluid dynamics, quantum mechanics and quantum chaos can mutually benefit from each other if a common language is used.

We would like to thank Capes for financial support.

[1] Schrödinger's Mechanics, D. B. Cook, World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 28, 1988

[2] Gardner, C. S., et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1095, 1967